Approximation Schemes for two-player pursuit evasion games with visibility constraints
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Abstract—In this paper, we consider the problem in which a mobile pursuer attempts to maintain visual contact with an evader as it moves through an environment containing obstacles. This surveillance problem is a variation of traditional pursuit-evasion games, with the additional condition that the pursuer immediately loses the game if at any time it loses sight of the evader. We present schemes to approximate the set of initial positions of the pursuer from which it might be able to track the evader.

We first consider the case of an environment containing only polygonal obstacles. We prove that in this case the set of initial pursuer configurations from which it does not lose the game is bounded. Moreover, we provide polynomial time approximation schemes to bound this set. We then extend our results to the case of arbitrary obstacles with smooth boundaries.

I. INTRODUCTION

Target tracking is an interesting class of motion planning problems. It considers motion strategies for a mobile robot to track a moving target among obstacles. In case of an antagonistic target, the problem lies in the framework of pursuit-evasion which belongs to a special class of problems in game theory. The two players in the game are the pursuer and the evader. The goal of the pursuer is to maintain a line of sight to the evader that is not occluded by any obstacle. The goal of the evader is to escape the visibility region of the pursuer (and break this line of sight) at any instant of time.

This problem has some interesting applications. In security and surveillance systems, tracking strategies enable mobile sensors to monitor moving targets in cluttered environments. In home care settings, a tracking robot can follow elderly people and alert caregivers of emergencies. Target-tracking techniques in the presence of obstacles have been proposed for the graphic animation of digital actors, in order to select the successive viewpoints under which an actor is to be displayed as it moves in its environment [16]. In surgery, controllable cameras could keep a patient’s organ or tissue under continuous observation, despite unpredictable motions of potentially obstructing people and instruments.

In this work, we address the problem of a single pursuer trying to maintain visibility of a single evader in a planar environment containing obstacles. The pursuer and the evader have bounded speeds. We address the following question: Given the initial position of the evader, what are the initial positions of the pursuer from which it can track successfully?

We use the term decidable region to refer to the set of initial positions of the pursuer at which the result of the game is known. Similarly, we use the term undecidable region to refer to the set of initial positions of the pursuer at which the result of the game is unknown.

The main contributions of this work are as follows. First; we prove that in an environment containing obstacles, the initial positions of the pursuer from which it can track the evader is bounded. Though this result is trivially true for a bounded workspace, for an unbounded workspace it is intriguing. Second; In this work, we provide polynomial-time approximation schemes to bound the set of initial positions of the pursuer from which it might be able to track successfully. If the initial position of the pursuer lies outside this region, the evader escapes. The size of the region depends on the geometry of the environment and the ratio of the maximum evader speed to the maximum pursuer speed. Third; we address the problem of target tracking in an environment containing non-polygonal obstacles. In the past, researchers [15] have addressed the problem of searching an evader in non-polygonal environments. However, we do not know of any prior work that addresses the problem of tracking an evader in non-polygonal environments. Fourth; although, we do not provide a complete solution to the decidability [5] of the tracking problem in general environments, we present partial solutions by providing polynomial time algorithms to bound the undecidable region.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II provides the related work. Section III presents the problem formulation. Section IV presents polynomial time approximation schemes to compute the decidable region. Section V extends the approximation schemes to environments containing non-polygonal obstacles. Section VI presents the conclusions and future research directions.

II. RELATED WORK

Some previous work has addressed the motion planning problem for maintaining visibility of a mobile evader. In [4], an algorithm is presented that operates by maximizing the probability of future visibility of the evader. In [14], algorithms are proposed for discrete-time representations of the system in deterministic and stochastic settings. The algorithms become computationally expensive as the number of stages of the
game is increased. In [8], the authors take into account the positioning uncertainty of the robot pursuer. Game theory is proposed as a framework to formulate the tracking problem, and an approach is proposed that periodically commands the pursuer to move into a region that has no localization uncertainty in order to re-localize and better track the evader afterward.

In [5], the problem of tracking an evader around a single corner is addressed. The free workspace is partitioned according to the strategies used by the players to win the game. The authors have shown that the problem is completely decidable around a single corner. However, in reality, we seldom encounter environments having single corner. Hence the results about a single corner have limited application in real scenarios. In [18], the authors show that the problem of deciding whether or not the pursuer is able to maintain visibility of the evader in a general environment is at least NP-complete. This motivates the necessity to use randomized or approximation techniques to address the problem since any deterministic algorithm would be computationally inefficient.

Some variants of the tracking problem have also been addressed. [7] presents an off-line algorithm that maximizes the evader’s minimum time to escape for an evader moving along a known path. In [9][3], a target tracking problem is analyzed for an unpredictable target and an observer lacking prior model of the environment. It computes a risk factor based on the current target position and generates a feedback control law to minimize it. [2] deals with the problem of stealth target tracking where a robot equipped with visual sensors tries to track a moving target among obstacles and, at the same time, remain hidden from the target. Obstacles impede both the tracker’s motion and visibility, and also provide hiding places for the tracker. A tracking algorithm is proposed that applies a local greedy strategy and uses only local information from the tracker’s visual sensors and assumes no prior knowledge of target tracking motion or a global map of the environment. In [19], the problem of target tracking has been analyzed at a fixed distance between the pursuer and evader. Optimal motion strategies are proposed for a pursuer and evader based on critical events.

Research has been done to track one or more evaders using multiple pursuers. [12] presents a method of tracking several evaders with multiple pursuers in an uncluttered environment. In [11] the problem of tracking multiple targets is addressed using a network of communicating robots and stationary sensors. A region-based approach is introduced which controls robot deployment at two levels, namely, a coarse deployment controller and a target-following controller.

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this paper we consider a mobile pursuer and evader on a plane. They are point robots and move with bounded speeds, \( v_p(t) \) and \( v_e(t) \). Therefore, \( v_p(t) : [0, \infty) \to [0, v_p] \) and \( v_e(t) : [0, \infty) \to [0, v_e] \). We use \( r \) to denote the ratio of the maximum speed of the evader to that of the pursuer \( r = \frac{v_e}{v_p} \). The workspace contains obstacles that restrict pursuer and evader motions and may occlude the pursuer’s line of sight to the evader. The initial position of the pursuer and the evader is such that they are visible to each other. To prevent the evader from escaping, the pursuer must keep the evader in its visibility region. The visibility region of the pursuer is the set of points from which a line segment from the pursuer to that point does not intersect the obstacle region. The evader escapes if at any instant of time it can break the line of sight to the pursuer. Visibility extends uniformly in all directions and is only terminated by workspace obstacles (omnidirectional, unbounded visibility).

Now we present a sufficient condition of escape for an evader in general environments. We use it to prove some important results in the next section. The sufficient condition is based on the the concept of a star region. The star region associated with a vertex is defined as the region in the free workspace bounded by the lines supporting the vertex of the obstacle. The shaded region in Figure 1 shows the star region associated with the vertex \( v \). The concept of star region is only applicable for a convex vertex (a vertex of angle less than \( \pi \)). Using the idea of the star region, a sufficient condition for escape for the evader can be stated as follows.

Sufficient Condition: If the time required by the pursuer to reach the star region associated with a vertex is greater than the time required by the evader to reach the vertex, the evader has a strategy to escape the pursuer’s visibility region.

The sufficient condition arises from the fact that if the evader reaches the corner before the pursuer can reach the star region associated with the corner, the evader may escape from the side of the obstacle hidden from the pursuer. This is illustrated in figure 2. In the figure, the evader, \( e \), is at the corner while the pursuer, \( p \), is yet to reach the star region associated with the corner. If the pursuer approaches the star region from the left side as shown by the solid arrow, the evader can escape the visibility region of the pursuer by
moving in the direction of the solid arrow. On the other hand, if the pursuer approaches the star region from the right side as shown by the dotted arrow, the evader can escape the visibility region of the pursuer by moving in the direction of the dotted arrow.

The relation between the time taken by the pursuer and evader can be expressed in terms of the distances traveled by the pursuer and the evader and their speeds. Referring to Figure 1, if $d_e$ is the length of the shortest path of the evader from the corner, $d_p$ is the length of the shortest path of the pursuer from the star region associated with the corner and $r$ is the ratio of the maximum speed of the evader to that of the pursuer, the sufficient condition can also be expressed in the following way

SC: If $d_e < r d_p$, the evader wins the game.

For the sake of convenience, we refer to the sufficient condition as SC in the rest of the paper.

IV. APPROXIMATION SCHEMES FOR POLYGONAL ENVIRONMENT

In this section, we show that in any environment containing polygonal obstacles, the set of initial positions from which a pursuer can track the evader is bounded. First, we prove the statement for an environment containing a single convex polygonal obstacles, the set of initial positions from which a pursuer can track the evader is bounded. Then we present two more approximation schemes to bound the set of initial positions of the pursuer from which it might be able to track the evader. The results presented in this section hold for unbounded as well as bounded environments.

Consider an evader, $e$, in an environment with a single convex polygonal obstacle having $n$ sides. The edges of the polygonal obstacle are $e_1, e_2 \cdots e_n$. Every edge $e_i$ is a line segment that lies on a line $l_{e_i}$ in the plane. Let $r_e = (x_e, y_e)$ and $r_p = (x_p, y_p)$ denote the initial position of the evader and the pursuer respectively. Let $\{h_i\}_1^n$ denote a family of lines, each given by the equation $h_i(x, y, r_e, r) = 0$. The presence of the terms $r_e$ and $r$ in the equation imply that the equation of the line depends on the initial position of the evader and the speed ratio respectively. Each line $h_i$ divides the plane into two half-spaces, namely, $h_i^+ = \{(x, y) \mid h_i(x, y, r_e, r) > 0\}$ and $h_i^- = \{(x, y) \mid h_i(x, y, r_e, r) < 0\}$. Now we use the SC to prove an important property related to the edges of the obstacle.

**Lemma 1:** For every edge $e_i$, there exists a line $h_i$ parallel to $e_i$ and a corresponding half-space $h_i^+$ such that the pursuer loses the game if $r_p \in h_i^+$.  

**Proof:** Consider an edge $e_i$ of a convex obstacle as shown in Figure 3. Since the obstacle is convex, it lies in one of the half-spaces generated by the line $l_{e_i}$. Without the loss of generality, let the obstacle lie in the half-space below the line $l_{e_i}$. Let $d_a$ and $d_b$ be the length of the shortest path of the evader from vertices $a$ and $b$ of the edge $e_i$ respectively. Since the obstacle lies in the lower half-space of $l_{e_i}$, the star region associated with vertices $a$ and $b$ are in the upper half-space of $l_{e_i}$ as shown by the green shaded region. Let $l_a$ and $l_b$ be the lines at a distance of $\frac{d_a}{r}$ and $\frac{d_b}{r}$ respectively, from the line $l_{e_i}$.

If the pursuer lies at a distance $d$ greater than $min(\frac{d_a}{r}, \frac{d_b}{r})$ below the line $l_{e_i}$, then the time taken by the pursuer to reach the line $l_{e_i}$ is $t_p = \frac{d}{v_p} \geq \frac{min(d_a, d_b)}{v_p}$. The minimum time required by the evader to reach corner $a$ or $b$, whichever is nearer, is given by $t_e = \frac{min(d_a, d_b)}{v_e}$. From the expressions of $t_p$ and $t_e$, we can see that $t_p = t_e$. Hence the pursuer will reach the nearer of the two corners before the evader reaches line $l_{e_i}$. Hence from SC, we conclude that if the pursuer lies below the line $h_i$ parallel to $e_i$ at a distance of $min(\frac{d_a}{r}, \frac{d_b}{r})$, then the evader wins the game by following the shortest path to the nearer of the two corners. In Figure 3, since $d_b > d_a$ the line $h_i$ coincides with line $l_a$.

Given an edge $e_i$ and the initial position of the evader, proof of Lemma 1 provides an algorithm to find the line $h_i$ and the corresponding half-plane $h_i^+$ as long as the length of the shortest path of the evader to the corners of an edge is computable. For example, in the presence of other obstacles, the length of the shortest path of the evader to the corners can be obtained by Dijkstra’s algorithm.

Now we present some geometrical constructions required to prove the next theorem. Refer to Figure 4. Consider a convex obstacle. Consider a point $c$ strictly inside the obstacle. For
By Lemma 1, using the initial position of the evader, we can extend the line segments below initial positions of the pursuer from which it can win the game construct a line is a bounded subset of the free workspace. Given the initial position of the evader, the set of initial positions from which the pursuer might win the game is a bounded set. Moreover, the intersection has the property that if the initial position of the pursuer lies outside the intersection, the evader wins the game and hence the result is known. But we still do not know the result of the game for all initial positions. Hence for every sector, there is a region of finite area such that if the initial pursuer position lies in it then it might win the game. Every edge of the polygon has a corresponding sector associated with it. Since each sector has a region of finite area such that if the initial pursuer position lies in it, the pursuer might win the game, the union of all these regions is finite. Hence the proposition follows. Figure 6 shows the evader in an environment consisting of a hexagonal obstacle. The polygon in the center bounded by thick lines shows the region of possible pursuer win.

In the proof of theorem 1, we generate a bounded set for each convex polygonal obstacle such that the evader wins the game if the initial position of the pursuer lies outside this set. In a similar way, we can generate a bounded set for a non-convex obstacle. Given a non-convex obstacle, we construct its convex-hull. We can prove that Lemma 1 holds true for the convex-hull. Finally, we can use Theorem 1 to prove the existence of a bounded set. Due to limitations in space, the proof is omitted.

From the previous discussions, we conclude that any polygonal obstacle, convex or non-convex, restricts the set of initial positions from which the pursuer might win the game, to a bounded set. Moreover, given the initial position of the evader and the ratio of the maximum speed of the evader to the pursuer, the bounded set can be obtained from the geometry of the obstacle by the construction used in the proof of Theorem 1. For any polygon in the environment, let us call the bounded set generated by it, as the $B$ set. If the initial position of the pursuer lies outside the $B$ set, the evader wins the game. For an environment containing multiple polygonal obstacles, we can compute the intersection of all $B$ sets generated by individual obstacles. Since each $B$ set is bounded, the intersection is a bounded set. Moreover, the intersection has the property that if the initial position of the pursuer lies outside the intersection, the evader wins the game. This leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 2:** Given the initial position of the evader, the set of initial positions from which the pursuer might win the game is bounded for an environment consisting of polygonal obstacles. 

**Proof:** The bounded set referred in this theorem is the intersection of the $B$ sets generated by the obstacles. If the initial pursuer position does not lie in the intersection it implies that it is not contained in all the $B$ sets. Hence there exists at least one polygon in the environment for which the initial pursuer position does not lie in its $B$ set. By Theorem 1, the evader has a winning strategy. Hence the theorem follows.

The intersection of the $B$ sets generated by all the obstacles provides an approximation of the size of the decidable regions. For any initial position of the pursuer outside the intersection, the evader wins the game and hence the result is known. But we still do not know the result of the game for all initial position of the pursuer inside the intersection. However, we can find better approximation schemes and reduce the size of the region in which the result of the game is unknown. In the next subsection, we present one such approximation scheme.
A. U set

Now we present another approximation scheme that gives a tighter bound of the undecidable region. From Lemma 1, the evader wins the game if \( r_p \in h^+_i \) for any edge. We can conclude that if \( r_p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n h^+_i \), the evader wins the game. Since \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^n h^+_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (h^-_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^n h^-_i \), where \( S^c \) denotes the complement of set \( S \), if \( r_p \) lies outside \( \bigcap_{i=1}^n h^-_i \), the evader wins the game. Hence the set of initial positions from where the pursuer might win the game is contained in \( \bigcap_{i=1}^n h^-_i \). We call \( \bigcap_{i=1}^n h^-_i \) as the U set. An important point to note is that the intersection can be taken among any number of half-spaces. If the intersection is among the half-spaces generated by the edges of an obstacle, we call it the U set generated by the obstacle. If the intersection is among the half-spaces generated by all the edges in an environment, we call it the U set generated by the environment.

The next theorem proves that the U set generated by a single obstacle is a subset of the B set and hence a better approximation.

**Theorem 3:** For a given convex obstacle, the U set is a subset of the B set and hence bounded.

**Proof:** Consider a point \( q \) that does not lie in the B set. From the construction of the B set, \( q \) must belong to some half-plane \( h^+_j \). If \( q \in h^+_j \), then \( q \notin h^-_i \iff q \notin \bigcap_{i=1}^n h^-_i \). This implies that the complement of the B set is a subset of the complement of the U set. This implies that the U set is a subset of the B set.

Figure 7 shows the B set and U set for an environment containing a regular hexagonal obstacle. In the appendix, we present a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the U set for an environment with polygonal obstacles. The overall time-complexity of this algorithm is \( O(n^2 \log n) \) where \( n \) is the number of edges in the environment. Figure 8 shows the evader in a polygonal environment. The region enclosed by the dashed lines is the U set generated by the environment for the initial position of the evader. The U set for any environment having polygonal obstacles is a convex polygon with at most \( n \) sides[6]. Figure 9 shows the U set for an environment for various ratio of the maximum speed of the evader to that of the pursuer. In Figure 9, it can be seen that as the speed ratio between the evader and the pursuer increases, the size of the U set decreases. The size of the U set diminishes to zero at a critical speed ratio. At speed ratios higher than the critical ratio, the evader has a winning strategy for any initial position of the pursuer. Hence the problem becomes decidable [5] when the ratio of the maximum speeds is higher than a critical limit.

The next theorem provides a sufficient condition for escape of the evader in an environment containing obstacles using the U set.

**Theorem 4** If the U set does not contain the initial position of either the pursuer or the evader, the evader wins the game.

**Proof:** To prove the theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2:** For \( r \leq 1 \), the evader lies inside the U set.

**Proof:** For \( r \leq 1 \), \( \tilde{v}_p \geq \tilde{v}_e \). If the pursuer lies at the same position as the evader, its strategy to win is to maintain the same velocity as that of the evader. Hence if the pursuer and the evader have the same initial position, the pursuer can track the evader successfully. Since all the initial positions from
any environment containing obstacles. Its winning strategy is to move on the convex hull of any obstacle.

In free workspace, the evader’s visibility region. This condition can be imposed by computing the intersections among a bunch of rays and arcs of circles generated by each edge. In this case a better approximation comes at the cost of expensive computation. We believe that better approximation schemes exist and one of our ongoing efforts is in the direction of obtaining computationally efficient approximation schemes.

None of the approximation schemes we have suggested so far restrict the initial position of the pursuer to be in the evader’s visibility region. This condition can be imposed by taking an intersection of the output of the approximation algorithm with the visibility polygon at the evader’s initial position. Efficient algorithms exist for computing the visibility polygon of a static point in an environment[10].

In the next section we extend the idea of $U$ set to environments containing non-polygonal obstacles.

V. APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR NON-POLYGONAL OBSTACLES

In this section we extend the approximation schemes presented in the previous section to non-polygonal obstacles. In order to illustrate the techniques required to handle non-polygonal obstacles, we compute an approximation for the initial positions of the pursuer from which the evader wins the game for the simple case of an evader in an environment containing a circular obstacle. Then we present the algorithm for any environment containing convex obstacles with smooth boundaries.

Figure 11 shows an evader, $e$, in an environment containing a circular obstacle of radius $a$ in free space. The boundary of the obstacle is denoted by $C$. Let $t$ be a point on $C$ such that \( \angle Ote = \theta \) and \( |te| = d' \). $T$ denotes the tangent to $C$ at $t$. Let
Theorem 6- $\partial U$ is the envelope of the family of lines $l(x, y, \theta)$.

Proof: Consider any point $q$ on $\partial U$. Since $q$ belongs to the boundary of the $U$ set, it belongs to some line, $h_q$, in the family $l(x, y, \theta)$. Either $h_q$ is tangent to $\partial U$ or else it intersects $\partial U$. In case it intersects $\partial U$, there is a neighborhood around $q$ in which $\partial U$ lies in both the half-spaces generated by $h_q$. This is not possible since one of the half-spaces generated by $h_q$ has to be entirely outside the $U$ set. Hence $h_q$ is tangent to $\partial U$. Since $q$ is any point on $B$, it implies that for all points $q$ on $\partial U$, the tangent to $\partial U$ at $q$ belongs to the family $l(x, y, \theta)$. A curve satisfying this property is the envelope to the family of lines $l(x, y, \theta)$. Hence the proposition follows.

Using the Envelope theorems [20], the envelope of a family of lines $l(x, y, \theta)$ can be obtained by solving the following equations simultaneously

$$l(x, y, \theta) = y + x \cot \theta - (a - \frac{d'}{r}) \csc \theta = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$d'$ as a function of $\theta$ is given by

$$d'(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{a^2 + d^2 - 2ad\cos \theta} & \text{if } \theta \leq \theta_0 \\ \sqrt{d^2 - a^2 + a(\theta - \theta_0)} & \text{if } \theta \geq \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_0 = \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{r}$.

The solution is

A. Case 1 ($\theta \leq \theta_0$)

$$x = (a - \sqrt{a^2 + d^2 - 2ad\cos \theta}) \cos \theta + \frac{ad\sin^2 \theta}{r\sqrt{a^2 + d^2 - 2ad\cos \theta}}$$

$$y = (a - \sqrt{a^2 + d^2 - 2ad\cos \theta}) \sin \theta - \frac{ad\sin \theta \cos \theta}{r\sqrt{a^2 + d^2 - 2ad\cos \theta}}$$

B. Case 2 ($\pi \geq \theta \geq \theta_0$)

$$x = (a - \sqrt{d^2 - a^2 + a(\theta - \theta_0)}) \cos \theta + \frac{\sin \theta}{r}$$

$$y = (a - \sqrt{d^2 - a^2 + a(\theta - \theta_0)}) \sin \theta - \frac{\cos \theta}{r}$$

Since $\partial U$ is symmetrical about the $x-$axis, the other half of $\partial U$ is obtained by reflecting the above curves about the $x-$axis. Figure 12(a) shows an evader in an environment consisting of a disc-like obstacle. Figures 12(b), (c) and (d) show the boundary of the $U$ set for varying distance between the evader and the obstacle. In each of these figures, the boundary of the $U$ set is shown for three different values of $r$. We can see that for $r \leq 1$, the evader lies inside the $U$ set as given by Lemma 2.

The above procedure can be used to construct the $U$ set for any convex obstacle with smooth boundary. Given the initial position of the evader, we present the procedure to construct the boundary of the $U$ set for an obstacle with smooth boundary. Consider an obstacle with smooth boundary given by the equation $f(x, y) = 0$. The procedure to generate the boundary of the $U$ set is as follows

1) Given any point $t$ on the boundary, compute the minimum distance of the point from the evader. Let it be $d_t$.
2) Find the equation of the line $h_t$ at a distance of $d_t$ from the tangent to the obstacle at $t$.
3) Find the family $l(x, y, \theta)$ of lines generated by $h_t$ as $t$ varies along the boundary of the obstacle. $\theta$ is a parameter that defines $t$. 
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An interesting direction of future research would be to extend our results to the target-tracking in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Researchers have addressed the problem of target-tracking in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [1]. We believe that some of our results can be used in 3-d by considering polyhedrons as bounding sets instead of polygons. Another direction of future research would be to incorporate dynamics in the player’s motion model.
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VII. APPENDIX

A. Algorithm for generating the U-set

Algorithm CONSTRUCTUSET(S,r,(x_e, y_e))

Input: A set S of disjoint polygonal obstacles, the evader position r_e = (x_e, y_e), ratio of maximum evader speed to maximum pursuer speed r

Output: The coordinates of the vertices of the U-set

1) For every edge e_i in the environment with end-points a_i, b_i

   2) l_i = DIJKSTRA(VG(S), r_e, a_i)

   3) l_2 = DIJKSTRA(VG(S), r_e, b_i)

   4) d_e_i = min(s_i, l_2)

   5) Find the equation of h_1 using Lemma 1.

   6) INTERSECTHALFPLANES(h_1, ..., h_n)

The subroutine VG(S), computes the visibility graph of the environment S. The subroutine DIJKSTRA(G,I,F) computes the least distance between nodes I and F in graph G. The subroutine INTERSECTHALFPLANES(h_1, ..., h_n) computes the intersection of the half planes h_1, ..., h_n [6]. The time complexity of the above algorithm is $O(n^2 \log n)$, where n is the number of edges in the environment.